## Appendix B Figures, Maps and Tables



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure E-1	Project Locus Map	B-1
Figure 2.1.1-1	Proposed Wind Turbine Generator	B-2
Figure 2.1.1-2	Revised Turbine Array – New 3 Mile Boundary	B-3
Figure 2.1.2-1	Proposed Project Area	B-4
Figure 2.1.3-1	Typical Profile 115 kV Solid Dielectric Submarine Cable	B-5
Figure 2.1.3-2	Submarine and Upland Transmission Line Route	B-6
Figure 2.1.3-3	Typical Cross Section of Submarine Cable Trench Using Jet Plow Embedment	B-7
Figure 2.1.3-4	Typical "8-over-8" Ductbank Cross-Section	B-8
Figure 2.1.3-5	Typical "4-over-4" Ductbank Cross-Section	B-9
Figure 2.3.1-1	Schedule	B-10
Figure 2.3.2-1	Regional Project Locus	B-11
Figure 2.3.2-2	Typical Installation Vessel	B-12
Figure 2.3.2-3	Preliminary Scour Control	B-13
Figure 2.3.3-1	Proposed Electric Service Platform Structural Detail (Sheet 1 of 2)	B-14
Figure 2.3.3-1	Proposed Electric Service Platform Structural Detail (Sheet 2 of 2)	B-15
Figure 2.3.7-1	115 kV Upland Splice Vault	B-16
Figure 3.3.3-1	Alternatives Analysis Sites Considered Locus	B-17
Figure 3.3.3-2	Wind Resources in New England	B-18
Figure 3.3.3-3	Portland, Maine (Outer Harbor) Alternative	B-19
Figure 3.3.3-4	Cape Ann, Massachusetts Alternative	B-20
Figure 3.3.3-5	Boston, Massachusetts (Outer Harbor) Alternative	B-21
Figure 3.3.3-6	Nauset, Massachusetts (East of Nauset Beach) Alternative	B-22
Figure 3.3.3-7	Nantucket Shoals (Southeast of Nantucket Island) Alternative	B-23
Figure 3.3.3-8	Phelps Bank (Southeast of Nantucket Island) Alternative	B-24
Figure 3.3.3-9	East of Block Island, Rhode Island Alternative	B-25
Figure 3.3.5-1	Alternatives Investigated	B-26
Figure 3.3.5-2	Proposed Wind Turbine Generator Foundation Types	В-27
Figure 3.3.5-3	South of Tuckernuck Island Alternative	B-28
Figure 3.3.5-4A	Tuckernuck Shoal Alternative, Potential View from Cape Poge, Martha's Vineyard–Daytime View	B-29
Figure 3.3.5-4B	Tuckernuck Shoal Alternative, Potential View from Cape Poge, Martha's Vineyard – Nighttime View	B-30
Figure 3.3.5-5	Monomoy Shoals Alternative	B-31



Figure 3.3.5-6	Daytime/Nighttime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park – Monomoy Alternative (Sheet 1 of 6)	B-32
Figure 3.3.5-6	Daytime/Nighttime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park – Monomoy Alternative (Sheet 2 of 6)	B-33
Figure 3.3.5-6	Daytime/Nighttime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park – Monomoy Alternative (Sheet 3 of 6)	B-34
Figure 3.3.5-6	Daytime/Nighttime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park – Monomoy Alternative (Sheet 4 of 6)	B-35
Figure 3.3.5-6	Daytime/Nighttime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park – Monomoy Alternative (Sheet 5 of 6)	B-36
Figure 3.3.5-6	Daytime/Nighttime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park – Monomoy Alternative (Sheet 6 of 6)	B-37
Figure 3.3.6-1	Horseshoe Shoal, Smaller Alternative	B-38
Figure 3.3.6-2	Daytime/Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park – Smaller Alternative (Sheet 1 of 6)	B-39
Figure 3.3.6-2	Daytime/Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park – Smaller Alternative (Sheet 2 of 6)	B-40
Figure 3.3.6-2	Daytime/Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park – Smaller Alternative (Sheet 3 of 6)	B-41
Figure 3.3.6-2	Daytime/Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park – Smaller Alternative (Sheet 4 of 6)	B-42
Figure 3.3.6-2	Daytime/Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park – Smaller Alternative (Sheet 5 of 6)	B-43
Figure 3.3.6-2	Daytime/Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park – Smaller Alternative (Sheet 6 of 6)	B-44
Figure 3.3.6-3	Phased Development Alternative	B-45
Figure 3.3.6-4	Condensed Array Alternative	B-46
Figure 4.1.1-1	Glacial Paleogeography of the Cape & Islands	B-47
Figure 4.1.1-2	Regional Surficial Geology of Cape Cod and the Islands	B-48
Figure 4.1.1-3	Regional Surficial Geology of Nantucket Sound	B-49
Figure 4.1.1-4	Surface Sediment Types Cape Wind Project Area and Vicinity	B-50
Figure 4.1.1-5	Plan View of Cross-Sections A-A' and B-B' – Detailed A"-A" and B"-B"	B-51
Figure 4.1.1-6	Regional Cross-Section A-A' from Onshore Mashpee Across Horseshoe Shoal to Nantucket	B-52
Figure 4.1.1-7	Regional Cross-Section B-B' from Onshore Martha's Vineyard Across Horseshoe Shoal to Brewster	B-53
Figure 4.1.1-8	Marine Geophysical and Geological Field Program (Through 2005)	B-54
Figure 4.1.1-9	Plan View of Cross-Section C-C' Along 115 kV Cable Route to Landfall	B-55
Figure 4.1.1-10	Geotechnical Boring and Test Pit Locations	B-56
Figure 4.1.1-11	Sandwave Data on Horseshoe Shoal	B-57
Figure 4.1.1-12	Detailed Cross-Section A"-A" Across Horseshoe Shoal	B-58
Figure 4.1.1-13	Detailed Cross-Section B"-B" Across Horseshoe Shoal	B-59



Figure 4.1.1-14	Detailed Cross-Section C-C' Along 115 kV Cable Route to Landfill	B-60
Figure 4.1.1-15	Profile of 2001 Geophysical Trackline G-13 and Boring GZA-SB-02	B-61
Figure 4.1.1-16	Surficial Geology Along Upland Cable Route	B-62
Figure 4.1.1-17	South to North D-D' Cross-Section Along Onshore Cable Route Within Higgins-Crowell Road ROW	B-63
Figure 4.1.1-18	West to East D"-D' Cross-Section Along Onshore Cable Route	B-64
Figure 4.1.1-19	USGS Seismic Hazard Map (Peak Acceleration (%g) for 10% Probability of Exceedance in 50 Years)	B-65
Figure 4.1.1-20	USGS Seismic Hazard Map (Peak Acceleration (%g) for 2% Probability of Exceedance in 50 Years)	B-66
Figure 4.1.2-1	Noise Receptors and Sound Monitoring Locations Surrounding the Horseshoe Shoal Alternative	B-67
Figure 4.1.2-2	Frequency Spectrum of the Ambient Above Water Sound Levels at Two Off-Shore Locations for the WTG Cut-In and Design Wind Speeds	B-68
Figure 4.1.2-3	Baseline Sound Levels Measured at Point Gammon (November 15-22, 2002) for the Cut-In Wind Speed (8 mph @ Hub Height)	B-69
Figure 4.1.2-4	Baseline Sound Levels Measured at Point Gammon (November 15-22, 2002) for the Design Wind Speed (30 mph @ Hub Height) and On-Shore Winds	B-70
Figure 4.1.2-5	Baseline Sound Levels Measured at Point Gammon (November 15-22, 2002) for the Design Wind Speed (30 mph @ Hub Height) and Off-Shore Winds	B-71
Figure 4.1.2-6	Baseline Sound Levels Measured at Oregon Beach (November 14-18, 2002) for the Cut-In Wind Speed (8 mph @ Hub Height)	B-72
Figure 4.1.2-7	Baseline Sound Levels Measured at Oregon Beach (November 14-18, 2002) for the Design Wind Speed (30 mph @ Hub Height) and On-Shore Winds	B-73
Figure 4.1.2-8	Baseline Sound Levels Measured at Oregon Beach (November 14-182, 2002) for the Design Wind Speed (30 mph @ Hub Height) and Off-Shore Winds	B-74
Figure 4.1.2-9	Baseline Sound Levels Measured at Cape Poge (November 25 – December 3, 2002) for the Cut-In Wind Speed (8 mph @ Hub Height)	B-75
Figure 4.1.2-10	Baseline Sound Levels Measured at Cape Poge (November 25 – December 3, 2002) for the Design Wind Speed (30 mph @ Hub Height) and On-Shore Winds	B-76
Figure 4.1.2-11	Baseline Sound Levels Measured at Cape Poge (November 25 – December 3, 2002) for the Design Wind Speed (30 mph @ Hub Height) and Off-Shore Winds	B-77
Figure 4.1.2-12	Frequency Spectrum of the Ambient Underwater Sound Levels at Buoy R20 for the WTG Cut-In and Design Wind Speeds	B-78
Figure 4.1.3-1	Significant Wave Height Distribution Around Horseshoe Shoal	B-79
Figure 4.1.4-1	Wind Energy Resource and Coastal Bathymetry Map of Nantucket Sound and Horseshoe Shoal	B-80
Figure 4.1.5-1	Massachusetts and Rhode Island Non-Attainment Areas	B-81
Figure 4.1.5-2	Sulfur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring Site	B-82
Figure 4.1.5-3	Particulate Matter (Size <10 micrometers) (PM <sub>10</sub> ) Monitoring Sites	B-83
Figure 4.1.5-4	Particulate Matter (Size <2.5 micrometers) (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) Monitoring Sites	B-84
Figure 4.1.5-5	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring Site	B-85
Figure 4.1.5-6	Carbon Monoxide (CO) Monitoring Site	B-86

Figure 4.1.5-7	Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ) Monitoring Site	B-87
Figure 4.1.5-8	June 5, 2005 8-Hour Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ) Contours and Weather Data	B-88
Figure 4.1.5-9	August 2, 2005 8-Hour Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ) Contours and Weather Data	B-89
Figure 4.1.5-10	August 11, 2005 8-Hour Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ) Contours and Weather Data	B-90
Figure 4.1.5-11	September 12, 2005 8-Hour Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ) Contours and Weather Data	B-91
Figure 4.1.5-12	July 29, 2006 8-Hour Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ) Contours and Weather Data	B-92
Figure 4.1.5-13	August 1, 2006 8-Hour Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ) Contours and Weather Data	B-93
Figure 4.1.5-14	August 2, 2006 8-Hour Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ) Contours and Weather Data	B-94
Figure 4.1.5-15	August 3, 2006 8-Hour Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ) Contours and Weather Data	B-95
Figure 4.1.5-16	Recorded Annual SO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations 1985-2005	B-96
Figure 4.1.5-17	Recorded Annual PM <sub>10</sub> Concentrations 1994-2004	B-97
Figure 4.1.5-18	Recorded Annual NO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations 1985-2005	B-98
Figure 4.1.5-19	Recorded 8 Hour CO Concentrations 1992-2004	B-99
Figure 4.1.5-20	Recorded 8 Hour O <sub>3</sub> Concentrations 1985-2005	B-100
Figure 4.1.6-1	Coastal Watersheds Potential Contamination Sources	B-101
Figure 4.1.6-2	Vibracore Locations – Lewis Bay	B-102
Figure 4.2.1-1	Wetlands on Upland Transmission Line Route	B-103
Figure 4.2.2-1	Benthic Habitat Map (Sheet 1 of 3)	B-104
Figure 4.2.2-1	Benthic Habitat Map (Sheet 2 of 3)	B-105
Figure 4.2.2-1	Benthic Habitat Map (Sheet 3 of 3)	B-106
Figure 4.2.5-1	Benthic Grab Sample Locations	B-107
Figure 4.2.5-2	Marine Invertebrate Sample Locations – Lewis Bay	B-108
Figure 4.2.5-3	Top 10 Species by Catch Weight (kg) Collected from Fall MarineFisheries Resource Trawl Surveys in Nantucket Sound from 1978-2004	B-109
Figure 4.2.5-4	Top 10 Species by Catch Number Collected from Fall MarineFisheries Resource Trawl Surveys in Nantucket Sound from 1978-2004	B-110
Figure 4.2.5-5	Top 10 Species by Catch Weight (kg) Collected from Spring MarineFisheries Resource Trawl Surveys in Nantucket Sound from 1978-2004	B-111
Figure 4.2.5-6	Top 10 Species by Catch Number Collected from Spring MarineFisheries Resource Trawl Surveys in Nantucket Sound from 1978-2004	B-112
Figure 4.2.5-7	Top Federally-Reportable Shellfish Species Landed from NMFS Statistical Area 075 from 1994 through 2004	B-113
Figure 4.2.5-8	Total Annual State-Regulated Landings of Shellfish from DMF Area 10 from 1990 through 2004	B-114
Figure 4.2.5-9	Percent of Total State-Regulated Landings for Various Shellfish Species Harvested from DMF Area 10 from 1990 through 2004	B-115
Figure 4.2.5-10	Total Annual State-Regulated Lobster Landings from DMF Area 10 from 1990 through 2004	B-116
Figure 4.2.5-11	Mean Monthly State-Regulated Lobster Landings from DMF Area 10 from 1990 through 2004	B-117



Figure 4.2.7-1	Total Federally-Reportable Commercial Landings from 1994 through 2004 for NMFS Statistical Area 075	B-118
Figure 4.2.7-2	Top 20 Federally-Reportable Commercial Species Harvested from 1994 through 2004 for NMFS Statistical Area 075	B-119
Figure 4.2.7-3	Total State-Reported Landings from the Fish Weir Fishery in DMF Area 10 from 1990 through 2004	B-120
Figure 4.2.7-4	Total State-Reported Landings from the Gill Net Fishery in DMF Area 10	B-121
Figure 4.2.7-5	Trends in Annual State-Reported Commercial Landings of Conch, Sea Bass and Scup from Fish Pots in DMF Area 10, Nantucket Sound	B-122
Figure 4.2.7-6	Most Common Species Landed from Fish Weirs I DMF Area 10 from 1990 through 2004	B-123
Figure 4.2.7-7	Mean Monthly State-Reported Commercial Fish Pot Landings for conch, Sea Bass and Scup from DMF Area 10	B-124
Figure 4.2.7-8	Mean Monthly State-Regulated Striped Bass Landings Sold to Market from DMF Area 10 from 1990 through 2004	B-125
Figure 4.2.7-9	Total Annual State-Regulated Striped Bass Landings Sold to Market from DMF Area 10 from 1990 through 2004	B-126
Figure 4.2.7-10	Mean Number of Hours Fished by Wave as Reported from Surveys from 1990 through 2004 from Dukes, Barnstable and Nantucket Counties	B-127
Figure 4.2.7-11	Mean Number of Hours Fished by Surveyed Anglers Calculated from all Surveys Conducted in Dukes, Barnstable and Nantucket Counties	B-128
Figure 4.2.7-12	Percent of Surveyed Anglers Reporting Mode of Fishing as Shore, Party/Charter Boat, or Private/Rental Boat from Surveys Conducted from 1990 through 2004 in Dukes, Barnstable and Nantucket Counties	B-129
Figure 4.2.7-13	Total Number of Fish Reported by Surveyed Anglers Fishing by Different Modes from 1990 through 2004 in Dukes, Barnstable and Nantucket Counties	B-130
Figure 4.2.7-14	Total Recreational Landings from Federally-Permitted Charter and Party Boats from 1994 through 2004 as Reported from Vessel Trip Reports for NMFS Statistical Area 075	B-131
Figure 4.2.7-15	Top 10 Recreational Species Reported Caught from Federally-Permitted Charter and Party Boats from 1994 through 2004 for NMFS Statistical Area 075	B-132
Figure 4.3.3-1	Environmental Justice Population	B-133
Figure 4.3.4-1	Viewshed Reconnaissance fro Designated Historic Properties (Sheet 1 of 4)	B-134
Figure 4.3.4-1	Viewshed Reconnaissance fro Designated Historic Properties (Sheet 2 of 4)	B-135
Figure 4.3.4-1	Viewshed Reconnaissance fro Designated Historic Properties (Sheet 3 of 4)	B-136
Figure 4.3.4-1	Viewshed Reconnaissance fro Designated Historic Properties (Sheet 4 of 4)	B-137
Figure 4.3.4-2	Character Photos (Sheets 1-64)B-13	88 to B-201
Figure 4.3.4-3	GIS-Listed Recreational Resources with Potential Views of Wind Park	B-202
Figure 4.3.7-1	Typical Steamship Authority Ferry Routes	B-203
Figure 4.4.3-1	Depth Restrictions	B-204
Figure 4.4.3-2	Published Figawi Race Courses	B-205
Figure 5.3.1-1	Predicted Scour Characteristics	B-206
Figure 5.3.1-2	Predicted Scour Characteristics	B-207



Figure 5.3.1-3	Cape Wind Air Quality Map	B-208
Figure 5.3.2-1	Underwater Sound Levels in (dBL) During Impact Pile Driving Operations – WTG A12	B-209
Figure 5.3.2-2	Underwater Sound Levels in (dBL) During Impact Pile Driving Operations – WTG A1-0	B-210
Figure 5.3.2-3	Predicted Frequency Spectrum of the Underwater Sound Pressure Level at 500 Meters from the Pile During Impact Pile Driving	B-211
Figure 5.3.2-4	Piping Plover Nests in Nantucket Sound and Buzzards Bay	B-212
Figure 5.3.3-1	Line of Sight Transects from Approximate Highest Elevation at Gay Head/Aquinnah – Northeasterly Toward Proposed Wind Park	B-213
Figure 5.3.3-2	Transect A: Line of Sight Profile – Gay Head/Aquinnah Northeasterly Toward Wind Park	B-214
Figure 5.3.3-3	Transect B: Line of Sight Profile – Gay Head/Aquinnah Northeasterly Toward Wind Park	B-215
Figure 5.3.3-4	Transect C: Line of Sight Profile – Gay Head/Aquinnah Northeasterly Toward Wind Park	B-216
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 1 of 12)	B-217
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 2 of 12)	B-218
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 3 of 12)	B-219
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 4 of 12)	B-220
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 5 of 12)	B-221
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 6 of 12)	B-222
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 7 of 12)	B-223
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 8 of 12)	B-224
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 9 of 12)	B-225
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 10 of 12)	B-226
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 11 of 12)	B-227
Figure 5.3.3-5	Daytime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 12 of 12)	B-228
Figure 5.3.3-6	Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 1 of 11)	B-229
Figure 5.3.3-6	Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 2 of 11)	B-230
Figure 5.3.3-6	Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 3 of 11)	B-231
Figure 5.3.3-6	Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 4 of 11)	B-232
Figure 5.3.3-6	Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 5 of 11)	B-233
Figure 5.3.3-6	Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 6 of 11)	B-234
Figure 5.3.3-6	Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 7 of 11)	B-235
Figure 5.3.3-6	Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 8 of 11)	B-236
Figure 5.3.3-6	Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 9 of 11)	B-237
Figure 5.3.3-6	Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 10 of 11)	B-238
Figure 5.3.3-6	Nighttime Visual Simulation of Proposed Wind Park (Sheet 11 of 11)	B-239



Figure 5.3.3-7	Daytime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park from Six Distant Viewpoints (Sheet 1 of 6)	B-240
Figure 5.3.3-7	Daytime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park from Six Distant Viewpoints (Sheet 2 of 6)	B-241
Figure 5.3.3-7	Daytime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park from Six Distant Viewpoints (Sheet 3 of 6)	B-242
Figure 5.3.3-7	Daytime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park from Six Distant Viewpoints (Sheet 4 of 6)	B-243
Figure 5.3.3-7	Daytime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park from Six Distant Viewpoints (Sheet 5 of 6)	B-244
Figure 5.3.3-7	Daytime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park from Six Distant Viewpoints (Sheet 6 of 6)	B-245
Figure 5.3.3-8	Daytime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park from 15 and 19 Miles (Sheet 1 of 2)	B-246
Figure 5.3.3-8	Daytime Photo-Rendering of Proposed Wind Park from 15 and 19 Miles (Sheet 2 of 2)	B-247
Figure 5.3.3-9	Nysted Offshore Windfarm 2005	B-248
Figure 5.3.3-10	Nysted Offshore Windfarm 2005	B-249
Figure 5.3.4-1	Comparison of M/V Eagle to WTG Spacing	B-250
Figure 5.3.4-2	Location of Worst Case Fresnel Zone	B-251
Figure 5.3.4-3	Location of Worst Case Fresnel Zone – Close-up	B-252
Figure 6.1-1	Cumulative Impact Study Area	B-253



## LIST OF TABLES

Table E-1	Summary of Impacts	B-255
Table 1.2-1	List of Required Permits and Approvals for the Project	B-257
Table 3.2.1-1	Physical Screening and Economic Modeling Results	B-261
Table 3.3.3-1	Coordinates Bounding Alternative Location Areas	B-263
Table 3.3.5-1	Summary of Impacts for Main Alternatives Relative to Proposed Action	B-264
Table 3.3.5-2	Interconnect Cable System Distance From The Monomoy Shoals Alternative Site To The Barnstable Substation	B-266
Table 3.3.6-1	Examples of WTG Grid Spacing for Offshore Wind Parks	B-266
Table 3.3.6-2	Distances from Turbines to On-Shore Locations for Condensed Array Alternative	B-267
Table 4.1.2-1	Various Indoor and Outdoor Sound Levels	B-268
Table 4.1.2-2	Existing Sound Levels at Three Representative Coastal Sites (dBA) (November-December 2002)	B-269
Table 4.1.4-1	Representative Temperature Data	B-269
Table 4.1.4-2	Representative Monthly Temperature Data	B-270
Table 4.1.4-3	Representative Wind Speed Data	B-270
Table 4.1.4-4	Representative Percent Frequency of Wind Direction	B-271
Table 4.1.4-5	Representative Monthly Precipitation Data	B-272
Table 4.1.4-6	Representative Visibility and Fog Data	B-272
Table 4.1.4-7	Representative Monthly Snowfall Data	B-273
Table 4.1.4-8	Representative Seasonal Mixing Height Data	B-274
Table 4.1.5-1	Existing Onshore Background Concentrations of Criteria Pollutants	B-275
Table 4.1.5-2	Air Quality Monitor Information	B-276
Table 4.1.5-3	8-Hour Ozone Excedances (2004-2006)	B-276
Table 4.1.6-1	Classification of Dredge Material by Chemical Constituents	B-277
Table 4.1.6-2	Classification of Sediment Material by Physical Constituents	B-277
Table 4.1.6-3	Normally Approvable Dredging, Handling and Disposal Options	B-278
Table 4.1.6-4	Sediment Bulk Chemistry Analytical Results for 2004 and 2005 Marine Vibracores Total Metals, Total Organic Carbon (TOC), and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	B-279
Table 4.1.6-5	Summary of Sediment Chemical Analytical Results (Metals, Total Organic Carbon, and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons) for the Cape Wind Project	B-285
Table 4.1.6-6	Summary of Sediment Chemical Analytical Results (Polychlorinated Biphenyl Congeners and Pesticides) for the Cape Wind Project	B-290
Table 4.1.6-7	Summary of Sediment Chemical Analytical Results (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon Results) for the Cape Wind Project	B-300
Table 4.1.7-1	Magnetic Flux Densities	B-305
Table 4.1.7-2	State Transmission Line Standards and Guidelines	B-305
Table 4.2.1-1	Coastal Wetland Resources	B-306

Table 4.2.4-1	Studies Conducted by the Applicant's Consultant that Were Used During the Preparation of this Description	B-307
Table 4.2.4-2	Summary of the Cape Wind and MassAudubon Aerial Survey Methodologies	B-307
Table 4.2.4-3	Summary of the Cape Wind and MassAudubon Aerial Survey Methodologies	B-308
Table 4.2.4-4	A summary of Radar Surveys in the Study Area as Observed by ESS During 2002-2006, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-308
Table 4.2.4-5	A Summary of Loon Counts in Study Area as Observed by ESS During Winter and Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-309
Table 4.2.4-6	A Summary of Loon Counts as Observed by MAS During Winter and Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-309
Table 4.2.4-7	A Summary of Grebe Counts in the Survey Area as Observed by ESS During Winter Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-310
Table 4.2.4-8	A Summary of Grebe Counts as Observed by MAS During Winter and Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-310
Table 4.2.4-9	A Summary of Wilson's Storm-Petrel Counts in the Survey Area as Observed by ESS During Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002 and 2003, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-311
Table 4.2.4-10	A Summary of Wilson's Storm-Petrel Counts as Observed by MAS During Winter and Summer Aerial and Boat Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-311
Table 4.2.4-11	A Summary of Northern Gannet Counts as Observed by ESS During Winter and Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-312
Table 4.2.4-12	A Summary of Northern Gannet Counts as Observed by MAS During Winter and Summer Aerial and Boat Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-313
Table 4.2.4-13	A Summary of Cormorant Counts as Observed by ESS During Winter and Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-313
Table 4.2.4-14	A Summary of Cormorant Counts as Observed by MAS During Winter and Summer Aerial and Boat Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-314
Table 4.2.4-15	A Summary of Common Eider Counts in the Survey Area as Observed by ESS During Winter and Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-314
Table 4.2.4-16	A Summary of Common Eider Counts as Observed by MAS During Winter and Summer Aerial and Boat Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-315
Table 4.2.4-17	A Summary of Long-Tailed Duck Counts in the Survey Area as Observed by ESS During Winter Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-315
Table 4.2.4-18	A Summary of Long-Tailed Duck Counts as Observed by MAS During Winter and Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	R-316



Table 4.2.4-19	A Summary of Scoter Counts in the Survey Area as Observed by ESS during Winter and Summer Aerial and Boat Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-316
Table 4.2.4-20	A Summary of Scoter Counts as Observed by MAS During Winter and Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-317
Table 4.2.4-21	A Summary of Merganser Counts in the Survey Area as Observed by ESS During Winter and Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-317
Table 4.2.4-22	A Summary of Merganser Counts as Observed by MAS During Winter and Summer Aerial and Boat Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-318
Table 4.2.4-23	A Summary of Gull Counts in the Survey Area as Observed by ESS During Winter and Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-318
Table 4.2.4-24	A Summary of Alcid Counts in the Survey Area as observed by ESS During Winter and Summer Aerial Surveys Conducted in 2002, 2003, and 2004, Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts	B-319
Table 4.2.5-1	Dominant Macroinvertebrate Taxa on Horseshoe Shoal, Monomoy Shoal and Tuckernuck Shoal, Spring 2002, and on Horseshoe Shoal, Summer 2001	B-320
Table 4.2.5-2	Macroinvertebrate Sampling Data at the Meteorological Tower, Nantucket Sound (6/3/2005)	
Table 4.2.5-3	Total Federally-Reportable Shellfish Species Landed (pounds) in Nantucket Sound from 1994-2004	
Table 4.2.5-4	Total State-Regulated Landings of Shellfish Species in DMF Area 10 from 1990 through 2004	
Table 4.2.7-1	Total Annual Catch Number for Each Species Reported in the Fall Trawls from 1978-2004	
Table 4.2.7-2	Total Annual Catch Number for Each Species Reported in the Spring Trawls from 1979-2004	
Table 4.2.7-3	Total Annual Catch Weight for Each Species Reported in the Fall Trawls from 1978-2004	B-328
Table 4.2.7-4	Total Annual Catch Weight for Each Species Reported in the Spring Trawls from 1979-2004	B-331
Table 4.2.7-5	Total Federally-Reportable Commercial Landings by Gear Type in Nantucket Sound from 1994-2004	B-333
Table 4.2.7-6	Commercial Fishermen Survey Information	B-334
Table 4.2.7-7	Number of MRFSS Surveys Reported from Dukes, Barnstable and Nantucket Counties	
Table 4.2.7-8	Recreational Fishermen Survey Information	B-337
Table 4.2.8-1	Summary of Specific Life Stage EFH Designations for Species in the NMFS Designated 10 x 10 Minute Squares Encompassing the Site of the Proposed Action in Nantucket Sound	
Table 4.2.8-2	Summary of Federal and State Fisheries Databases Reporting the Presence of EFH Designated Species	
Table 4.3.3-1	1990 and 2000 Census Data for Nantucket County, MA; Dukes County, MA;  Barnstable County, MA: Washington County, RI; and Bristol County, MA	B-340



Table 4.3.4-1	Historic Properties and Districts Assessed for Wind Park Visibility for the Cape Wind Project	B-342
Table 4.3.4-2	Compilation of Recreational Resources Within Viewshed of Wind Park (from West to East)	B-345
Table 5.1.1-1	Impact Producing Factors Summary Table	B-356
Table 5.2.3-1	Vessels Used for Cape Wind Project	B-357
Table 5.3.1-1	Predicted Above Water Maximum Sound Levels ( $L_{max}$ ) at Upland Locations from Pile Driving for the Wind Park Foundations (dBA)	B-364
Table 5.3.1-2	Predicted Above Water Maximum Sound Levels ( $L_{max}$ ) at Two Seaward Locations Near the Wind Park from Pile Driving for the Wind Park Foundations (dBA)	B-364
Table 5.3.1-3	Locations Selected for Modeling Sound Effects of the Project	B-365
Table 5.3.1-4	Maximum Continuous Sound Levels From Project Operation Compared to Baseline Sound Levels (Leq) at Lewis Bay Upland Locations For The Cut-In Wind Speed Condition	B-365
Table 5.3.1-5	Maximum Continuous Sound Levels From Project Operation Compared to Baseline Sound Levels (Leq) at Lewis Bay Upland Locations for the Design Wind Speed Condition	B-366
Table 5.3.1-6	Maximum Continuous Sound Levels From Project Operation Compared to Baseline Sound Levels (Leq) at Buoys G5 and R20	B-366
Table 5.3.1-7	Potential Project Emissions by Major Activity	B-366
Table 5.3.1-8	Potential Project Emissions by Location	B-367
Table 5.3.1-9	Predicted Suspended Sediment Concentrations	B-369
Table 5.3.1-10	Biological Process Strength Compared to EMF Interaction Strength	B-369
Table 5.3.1-11	Magnetic Fields for Most Lightly Loaded 33 kV Cable	B-369
Table 5.3.1-12	Magnetic Fields for Most Heavily Loaded 33 kV Homerun Cable	B-370
Table 5.3.1-13	ESP Peak Magnetic Field Levels Over the 33kV Cables	B-370
Table 5.3.1-14	Maximum Magnetic Flux Density (mG)	B-370
Table 5.3.1-15	Range of EMF Exposure Levels	B-370
Table 5.3.2-1	Summary of Available Avian Radar Survey Results for Proposed Terrestrial Wind Facilities	B-371
Table 5.3.2-2	Summary of Maximum Anticipated Temporary and Permanent Impacts to Benthic Habitat-Proposed Action	B-376
Table 5.3.2-3	A Discussion of the Tolerances of the Bivalves, Gastropods and Decapods of Horseshoe Shoal, to Sediment Deposition and Suspended Sediment	B-378
Table 5.3.2-4	Hearing Thresholds and Bandwidths for Finfish	B-389
Table 5.3.2-5	Predicted Underwater Sound Levels Perceived by Marine Animals (Hearing Threshold Sound Levels) from Operation of the Proposed Action	B-389
Table 5.3.2-6	Predicted Underwater Sound Levels Perceived by Finfish (Hearing Threshold Sound Levels) from Pile Driving	B-390
Table 5.3.2-7	Calculated Zone of Behavioral Response for Significant Avoidance Reaction to Pile Driving	B-390
Table 5.3.2-8	Early Benthic and Pelagic Life Stages of Species with Designated EFH Potentially Present in the Proposed Action Area	B-390



Table 5.3.2-9	Older Benthic and Pelagic Life Stages of Species with Designated EFH Potentially Present in the Proposed Action Area	B-391
Table 5.3.2-10	Potential Impacts to Benthic and Pelagic Life Stages of Species with Designated EFH Potentially Present in the Proposed Action Area	B-392
Table 5.3.3-1	Section 106 Assessment of Effect for Aboveground Historic Properties within the Cape Wind Project Visual APE	B-397
Table 5.3.4-1	Frequencies Licensed by the FCC to Marine Service	B-399
Table 5.3.4-2	Potential Frequencies Affected by Wind Energy Facilities	B-401
Table 5.4.1-1	Species Protected Under the Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA), the Massachusetts Endangered Species Act (ESA), and the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA)	B-402
Table 5.4.1-2	Finfish and Shellfish Resources of Cape Cod and the Islands Near the South of Tuckernuck Island Alternative	B-403
Table 5.4.1-3	Potential EFH Designations Within South of Tuckernuck Island Alternative Location	B-404
Table 5.4.2-1	Dominant Benthic Invertebrate Taxonomic Groups of Monomoy Shoals	B-405
Table 5.4.2-2	Potential EFH Designations Within Monomoy Shoals	B-405
Table 5.4.3-1	Summary of Maximum Anticipated Temporary and Permanent Impacts to Benthic Habitat-Smaller Project – Scour Mats	B-406
Table 5.4.3-2	Summary of Maximum Anticipated Temporary and Permanent Impacts to Benthic Habitat-Smaller Project	B-407
Table 5.4.6-1	Alternatives Versus Project Purpose and Need	B-408
Table 5.4.6-2	Inputs and Outputs of Energy Generation	B-408
Table 7.2-1	Summary Table of MMS Consultations with Agencies	B-409